

| | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/049,214 | Applicant(s) BEDELL, DANIEL | |
| | Examiner Christopher Upton | Art Unit 1724 | |

All Participants:

(1) Christopher Upton.

(2) Neill Sudol.

Date of Interview: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
All

Claims discussed:
1, 18, 21

Prior art documents discussed:
Prior art of record


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
It was agreed to amend the claims as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to clarify the claims and patentably distinguish over the prior art of record.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



CHRISTOPHER UPTON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)